UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF SOUTH DAKOTA

CHARLES L. NAIL, JR. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE



FAX: (605) 945-449 I

TELEPHONE: (605) 945-4490

FEDERAL BUILDING AND UNITED STATES POST OFFICE 225 SOUTH PIERRE STREET, ROOM 2 I I PIERRE, SOUTH DAKOTA 5750 I - 2463

Via Electronic Transfer

August 6, 2013

James M. Cremer, Esq. Attorney for Debtor Post Office Box 970 Aberdeen, South Dakota 57402-0970

Joel D. Nesset, Esq. Attorney for Debtor 33 South Sixth Street, Suite 4150 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402

Steven H. Silton, Esq. Attorney for Debtor 33 South Sixth Street, Suite 4150 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402

Thomas G. Wallrich, Esq. Attorney for Debtor 33 South Sixth Street, Suite 4150 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402

Subject: In re Northern Beef Packers Limited Partnership

Chapter 11, Bankr. No. 13-10118

Dear Counsel:

Because of the disparity between the number of scheduled creditors and the number of parties on the mailing list of creditors, the Bankruptcy Clerk's office compared the two to ensure all scheduled creditors are on the mailing list. They are not. Attached is a list of those creditors that are on the schedules but are not on the mailing list.

Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 521(a)(1)(A) and Fed.R.Bankr.P. 1007(a)(1), a full mailing list should have been filed with the petition. It was not.

Further, pursuant to the Order (and Amended) Order Setting Certain Deadlines on Debtor's Motion to Obtain Credit, Use Cash Collateral, and Provide Adequate Protection and Preliminary Request (docs. 51 and 59), the order and Debtor's Motion for Interim and Final Orders Authorizing: (A) Secured Post-Petition Financing on a Super Priority Basis Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 364, (B) Use of Cash Collateral Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 363 and (C) Grant of Adequate Protection Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 361, 363, and 364, and Request for Preliminary Hearing ("motion to obtain credit")

Re: Northern Beef Packers

August 6, 2013

Page 2

(doc. 45) were to have been served on all creditors and other parties in interest. The record does not indicate the required service was made.

Accordingly, at the August 8, 2013 continued hearing on Debtor's motion to obtain credit, Debtor now has the additional burden of demonstrating to the Court why preliminary authority to obtain secured credit should be granted when not all creditors have been given notice of the commencement of the case and notice of the motion to obtain credit and the order setting deadlines, as the Court directed.

There is nothing in the record to suggest counsel for Debtor were not cognizant the mailing list was incomplete on the petition date. Had you been forthright and acknowledged the problem no later than when the motion to obtain credit was filed, we could have dealt with it in a more timely fashion. Now, Debtor's options have narrowed.

Sincerely,

Charles L. Nail, Jr. Bankruptcy Judge

CLN:sh

Attachment: one

cc: case file (docket as status letter; serve any party in interest who is not

electronically served)